

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/621,672	SAHLEM, DONALD PETER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer E. Novosad	3634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowance

 (1) Ms. Jennifer E. Novosad (Examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Donald Sahlem (Applicant).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 April 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Section 112, 2nd paragraph

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

4,496,060 and 5,697,508
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed (a) amending the claims to more clearly define the invention and (b) canceling the newly added claims that are drawn to a non-elected species. Examiner to make changes via examiner's amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)